# ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

In The Matter Of:	PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
Quick Stop  Jay Chamunda, L.L.C.  UST Facility ID No. 23075-031-000355	ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER
Enterprise, Coffee County, Alabama	No.

#### **FINDINGS**

Pursuant to the provisions of the Alabama Environmental Management Act, §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-16, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.); the Alabama Underground Storage Tank and Wellhead Protection Act, §§ 22-36-1 to 22-36-10, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.); and the ADEM Administrative Code of Regulations (hereinafter "ADEM Admin. Code r.") promulgated pursuant thereto, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (hereinafter the "Department" or "ADEM") makes the following FINDINGS:

- 1. Jay Chamunda, L.L.C. is the registered owner of a regulated underground storage tank (UST) facility located at Quick Stop, 640 Bellwood Road, Enterprise, Coffee County, Alabama, designated as ADEM Facility I.D. Number 23075-031-000355.
- 2. ADEM is a duly constituted agency of the State of Alabama pursuant to §§ 22-22A-1 to 22-22A-16, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.).
- 3. Pursuant to § 22-22A-4(n), Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.), ADEM is the State Environmental Control Agency for the purposes of federal environmental law, including 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991 to 6991(m), as amended.
- 4. ADEM is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the Alabama Underground Storage Tank and Wellhead Protection Act, §§ 22-36-1 to 22-36-10, Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.).
- 5. Based upon an inspection dated October 7, 2010 of the UST facility and/or a review of facility records, the Department has documented the violations alleged herein.
- 6. Pursuant to ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-15-.45(3), upon implementation of delivery prohibition, it shall be unlawful for any regulated substance deliverer to deliver a regulated substance, and it shall be unlawful for owners and operators of UST systems to accept delivery of a regulated substance to an underground storage tank facility that is under delivery prohibition.

- 7. The owner accepted delivery of a regulated substance to an underground storage tank facility that was under delivery prohibition.
- 8. Pursuant to ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-15-.14, owners of new and existing UST systems must provide a method or combination of methods of release detection.
- 9. The owner has failed to provide a method of release detection, in violation of ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-6-15-14.
- 10. A Notice of Deficiency (NOD) dated January 26, 2010, was issued by the Department requiring the owner to submit spill catchment basin tests and a passing 0.2 gallon per hour leak test printout for each tank from the automatic tank gauge.
- 11. On March 4, 2010, upon the Owner's failure to respond to the NOD dated January 26, 2010, the Department issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) again requiring the owner to submit a passing 0.2 gallon per hour leak test printout, interstitial monitoring printout or SIR contract.
- 12. On May 17, 2010, upon the Owner's failure to respond to the NOD dated January 26, 2010 and the NOV dated March 4, 2010, the Department issued a Notice of Proposed Delivery Prohibition for failure to submit passing 0.2 gallon per hour leak test printout for each tank from the automatic tank gauge.
  - 13. On June 16, 2010, the Department placed the facility on delivery prohibition.
- 14. On October 7, 2010, a Department inspection revealed that the facility had received fuel on September 27, 2010 while still on delivery prohibition.

Pursuant to § 22-22A-5(18)(c), Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.), in determining the amount of any penalty, the Department must give consideration to the seriousness of the violation, including any irreparable harm to the environment and any threat to the health or safety of the public; the standard of care manifested by such person; the economic benefit which delayed compliance may confer upon such person; the nature, extent and degree of success of such person's efforts to minimize or mitigate the effects of such violation upon the environment; such person's history of previous violations; and the ability of such person to pay such penalty. Any civil penalty assessed pursuant to this authority shall not be less than \$100.00 or exceed \$25,000.00 for each violation, provided however, that the total penalty assessed in an order issued by the Department shall not exceed \$250,000.00. Each day such violation continues shall be a separate violation.

In arriving at the civil penalty assessed in this matter, the Department has considered the following:

#### A. Seriousness of the Violation:

The Owner/Operator did not provide a method of release detection and did not ensure that the facility was not on delivery prohibition. The violations impede the Department's regulatory authority over USTs for threats to public health, safety and the environment.

#### B. Standard of Care:

The Owner/Operator did not exhibit a standard of care commensurate with applicable regulatory requirements.

#### C. Economic Benefit Which Delayed Compliance May Have Conferred:

The Department has been unable to ascertain if there has been a significant economic benefit conferred on the Owner.

## D. <u>Efforts to Minimize or Mitigate the Effects of the Violation Upon the Environment:</u>

There are no known environmental effects as a result of the alleged violations.

#### E. History of Previous Violations:

of leak detection

The Owner/Operator does not have a history of previous violations.

#### F. Ability to Pay:

The Owner/Operator has not alleged an inability to pay the civil penalty.

G. Other Factors: The Department has carefully considered the six statutory penalty factors enumerated in Ala. Code § 22-22A-5(18)c (2006 Rplc. Vol.), as well as the need for timely and effective enforcement, and has concluded that a civil penalty in the amount of \$9,000.00 is appropriate, in keeping with a penalty range imposed by the Department for similar violations at other UST facilities, as follows (see attachment A):

Violation Type	Penalty Range for Violation Type
Unauthorized delivery to a facility on delivery prohibition	\$100 - \$25,000
Owner failed to provide method	\$100 - \$25,000

#### **ORDER**

Based on the foregoing FINDINGS and pursuant to §§ 22-22A-5(1), 22-22A-5(10), 22-22A-5(12) and 22-22A-5(18), Ala. Code (2006 Rpl.Vol.), it is hereby ORDERED:

A. That, within forty-five days of the effective date of this Administrative Order, the Owner shall pay to the Department a civil penalty in the amount of \$9,000.00 for the violations cited herein. Failure to pay the civil penalty within forty-five days of the effective date of this

Administrative Order shall constitute cause for the Department to file a civil action in the Circuit Court of Montgomery County, Alabama. Payment shall be made by Cashier's Check or Money Order, payable to the Alabama Department of Environmental Management, and addressed as follows:

#### Office of General Counsel

Alabama Department of Environmental Management P.O. Box 301463 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1463

- B. That, prior to bringing any UST system back into service, the owner shall ensure that a method or combination of methods of release detection is in place and is fully operational.
- C. That, should any provisions of this Administrative Order be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction or the Environmental Management Commission to be inconsistent with Federal or State law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining provisions hereof shall remain in full force and effect.
- D. That, except as otherwise set forth herein, this Administrative Order is not and shall not be interpreted to be a permit or modification of a permit under Federal, State or local law, and shall not be construed to waive or relieve the Owner of the obligation to comply in the future with all applicable law.
- E. That the issuance of this Administrative Order does not preclude the Department from seeking criminal fines or other appropriate sanctions or relief against the Owner of the violations cited herein.
- F. That failure to comply with the provisions of this Administrative Order shall constitute cause for commencement of legal action by the Department against the Owner for recovery of additional civil penalties, criminal fines, or other appropriate sanctions or relief.

ORDERED and ISSUE	D this the	day of	, 2010.
	Lance R. Le		
	Directo	: : : =	

### **ATTACHMENT A**

# **Penalty Calculation Worksheet**

Owner - Jay Chamunda, LLC Facility Name - Quick Stop 640 Bellwood Rd. Enterprise, AL 36330

Violation*	Number of Violations*	Seriousness of Violation & Base Penalty*	Standard of Care*	History of Previous Violations*
ADEM Admin Code r. 335-6-1545(3) states that it shall be unlawful for any regulated substance deliverer to deliver a regulated substance, and it shall be unlawful for owners and operators of UST systems to accept delivery of a regulated substance to a UST facility that is under delivery				
prohibition.	1	\$5,000	\$0	\$0
ADEM Admin Code r. 335- 6-1514 states that owners and operators of UST systems must provide a method of release				
detection.	1	\$4,000	\$0	\$0
Totals:	1	\$9,000	\$0	\$0

Economic Benefit\*: \$0

Mitigating Factors: \$0

Ability to Pay\*: \$0

Other Factors: \$0

Total Civil Penalty: \$9,000

#### **Footnotes**

<sup>\*</sup> See the "Findings" of the Order for a detailed description of each violation and the penalty factors